

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/974,044	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COHEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Maureen M. Wallenhorst	<b>Art Unit</b> 1743

**All Participants:**

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anthony Sitko (Appl. Rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 1, 2 and 10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)